

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRS	T NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/479,273	01/05/00	KRINSKY		L	KRIN112403
00000	9 MM91/0626		EXAMINER		
026389 CHRISTENSEN	I, O CONNOR,			YAN, R	
1420 FIFTH	-	o or nadora,	15. d. 19 d. 19 d	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE WA	98101-2347			2854	6
				DATE MAILED.	06/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/479,273 Applicant(s)

Krinsky

Examiner

Art Unit

		Ren Yan	2854
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address
A SH	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM
af - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ceter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimun period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to bec	n of thirty (30) days will 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 9, 20	001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
•	tion of Claims	_	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-20	is/are	pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-20		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b)□ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of:		-(d).
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		1-
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of the prio	• •	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).
Attachm	ent(s)		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
17) 💢 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/479,273

Art Unit: 2854

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-9 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Non-elected claims 10-16 have been canceled and new dependent claims 17-20 have been added.

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fredlund et al(5,815,645). The patent to Fredlund et al teaches the method of combining customer generated digital image with prestored basic digital image to form merged image and print such image on a substrate for decorative purpose as claimed including the use of a computer 10 equipped with a monitor and various input and output devices such as scanners, digital cameras, thermal and inkjet printers, etc. to create, combine and print desired merged digital images on substrates through the cooperation between the operator and the customer. See the entire Fredlund patent for details. With respect to claim

Application/Control Number: 09/479,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

3, with the presence of the various printers 32-42 connected to the computer 10, to print the image displayed on the monitor on paper in order to view the actual printed image in terms of its color and sharpness qualities for the customer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. With respect to claims 7-9, to print the merged images on a transfer medium to be later transferred onto a substrate by thermal printers or to print the merged images directly onto a substrate by an inkjet printer have been explicitly taught by Fredlund et al in column 3, lines 46-55. Regarding claims 17, 19 and 20, since various digital images being stored in the computer 10 of Fredlund et al and any one of these images can be selected and digitally merged with the customer generated digital images, to pick and choose any design among a plurality of available design choices would have been most obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fredlund et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Durg et al(6,212,304). Fredlund et al may not mention the use of E-mail as an input device to generate digital images. Durg et al disclose in column 1, lines 17-21 that it has become common practice to send digital images among computers via electronic mail. In view of the teaching of Durg et al, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the computer 10 of Fredlund et al with the necessary Internet and electronic mail connections appropriately disposed as taught by Durg et al as an additional means to receive customer generated digital images.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fredlund et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jablonski(5,685,657). The patent to Fredlund et

Application/Control Number: 09/479,273 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

image.

al may not teach to provide a protective coating on the printed image. Jablonski teaches in a printer the conventionality of providing a transparent protective coating on the printed color image. See column 4, lines 53-56 in Jablonski for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the printed image in the Fredlund patent with a protective coating in order to achieve the inherent advantage of prolonging the useful life of the

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren Yan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0978. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hilten, can be reached on (703) 308-0719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-5841.

Ren Yan

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan June 22, 2001